

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/712,164

Applicant(s)

KIM, HYOUNG-RAE

Examiner

Prabodh M. Dharia

Art Unit

2629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prabodh M. Dharia.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven M. Mills.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: None.

Claim(s) discussed: 9 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hirai; Yoshinori et al. (US 5,953,002 A) and Sarrasin; Denis (US 5638091 A).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner discussed the amended claims 9 and 12 after further search and consideration prior art and newly cited prior arts of Hirai; Yoshinori et al. (US 5,953,002 A) and Sarrasin; Denis (US 5638091 A). Examiner suggested amendments required to overcome newly cited prior arts. After receiving approval from applicant, applicant's representative authorized examiner to do examiner's amendments during telephone interview and faxed claims amendments as per examiner's request. Examiner also mentioned the faxed amended claims for examiner amendments will require further search and consideration in the US-PGPUB.